Page 1 of 16

ORIGINAL

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

FEB 282003

MARY E. D'ANDREA, CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KEITH I. SCHORR and SUSAN

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SCHORR, in their own right and

as personal representatives of the

Estate of RYAN K. SCHORR,

Plaintiffs,

NO.: 1:CV-01-0930

WEST SHORE REGIONAL POLICE

DEPARTMENT, HOWARD

v.

DOUGHERTY, CUMBERLAND

COUNTY, and HOLY SPIRIT

HOSPITAL,

Defendants.

HONORABLE YVETTE KANE

<u>DEFENDANT CUMBERLAND COUNTY'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS</u> MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On August 2, 2001, Plaintiffs, Keith I. Schorr and Susan Schorr ("Plaintiffs") filed a First Amended Complaint against Cumberland County and other defendants on behalf of their deceased son, Ryan K. Schorr ("Decedent").

The First Amended Complaint had seven Counts. Defendant Cumberland County

filed a 12(b)(6) Motion. Subsequently, Plaintiffs withdrew all Counts against Cumberland County, except Count II which alleges a violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.

Cumberland County has filed a Motion for Summary Judgment related to the final remaining Count against it in this suit. This Brief is submitted in support of that Motion.

II. STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

This action arises out of the death of Plaintiffs' son, Ryan K. Schorr, as a result of an incident that occurred on November 18, 2000. See First Amended Complaint, paragraphs 1, 5 an 17. The Decedent suffered from a psychiatric illness known as bipolar (manic) disorder. See First Amended Complaint, paragraph 12. Shortly before November 18, 2000, the Decedent's mental state allegedly deteriorated. See First Amended Complaint, paragraph 15. With the Plaintiffs' approval, the Decedent's housemate, Matthew Gaumer, requested that an order be issued for the Decedent's involuntary commitment pursuant to §302 of the Pennsylvania Mental Health Procedures Act, 50 P.S. §7302. See First

Amended Complaint, paragraph 17. Grand Jury Report at 8-9.¹ (The Grand Jury Report has been previously filed as an exhibit to Defendant West Shore Regional Police Department's 12(b)(6) Motion.

A crisis intervention worker at Holy Spirit Hospital evaluated the application, determined that the Decedent presented a clear and present danger to himself or others, and caused an order to be issued for the Decedent's involuntary commitment. See First Amended Complaint, paragraph 19; Grand Jury Report at 9. After obtaining the order and corresponding warrant, Officers Gary Berresford and Harry Hart of the West Shore Regional Police Department went to Decedent's house and transported him to Holy Spirit Hospital. See First Amended Complaint, paragraph 21; Grand Jury Report at 11.

At Holy Spirit Hospital, the officers were instructed to take the Decedent to Room 17 in the Emergency Department ("ED"). Grand Jury Report at 11. Room

¹It is well settled that [in ruling on a motion to dismiss,] a district court may take judicial notice of matters of public record without converting the motion into one for summary judgment. Pension Benefit Guar. Corp. v. White Consol. Indus. Inc., 998 F.2d 1192, 1196 (3d Cir. 1993); Anderson v. Simon, 217 F.3d 472, 474-75 (7th Cir. 2000) cert. denied, 531 U.S. 1073 (2001). Since the Grand Jury Report has been "filed as a public record with the Court of Common Pleas of Cumberland County," this Court can consider it for purposes of ruling on the instant motion. See Grand Jury Report, at 1. A copy of the Grand Jury is attached to the Motion as Exhibit "B."

17 in the ED of Holy Spirit Hospital is a specially adapted room used for patients that present a potential security risk. Grand Jury Report at 11. It is equipped with minimal furniture that is bolted to the floor and with a door that locks from outside whenever the door is closed. Grand Jury Report at 11. The door to Room 17 also has an observation window from which healthcare providers and crisis workers can observe the patient within. (See Exhibit "E," Deposition Transcript of Candice Highfield, p. 17, lines 11-14). The usual §302 commitment procedure involved using Room 17. (See Exhibit "C," Deposition Transcript of Carol Joerger, R.N., p. 21, lines 7-12).

The Decedent became increasingly agitated and the ED charge nurse, Carol Joerger, called security for assistance. Grand Jury Report at 11-12. Security officer, Cory Graby, arrived shortly thereafter. Grand Jury Report at 11-12. This is a standard practice during a §302 mental health intake. Grand Jury Report at 11-12.

Afterward, Nurse Joerger attempted to conduct an initial medical assessment of the Decedent. Grand Jury Report at 12. Crisis Worker Candice Highfield telephoned the Decedent's mother to get more background information for the assessment. Grand Jury Report at 12. Emergency Department Dr. David Spurrier then assessed the Decedent. Grand Jury Report at 12. Dr. Spurrier then

left the room and completed the §302 paperwork for the involuntary committal because, in his opinion, the Decedent was psychotic and hallucinatory. Grand Jury Report at 13. Dr. Spurrier and crisis worker Highfield then discussed the Decedent's status, and Dr. Spurrier told her he would administer medication to the Decedent anytime that Highfield felt it necessary. Grand Jury Report at 13. Highfield replied that first she wanted to read the Decedent his §302 rights. Grand Jury Report at 13. She went to the door of Room 17, looked through the observation window, and saw the Decedent sitting on the bed. Grand Jury Report at 13. However, as she attempted to enter the room, the Decedent forced his way past her and ran out of the hospital. See First Amended Complaint, paragraph 23; Grand Jury Report at 13.

Eventually, the Decedent returned to his house. <u>See</u> First Amended Complaint, paragraph 24. After being notified of the Decedent's whereabouts, the West Shore Regional Police Department ("WSRPD") officers returned to the Decedent's house and again attempted to take him into custody. <u>See</u> First Amended Complaint, paragraphs 25 and 29. The subsequent encounter escalated into a physical struggle between the Decedent and the WSRPD officers, during which the Decedent was fatally wounded by one of the officers. <u>See</u> First Amended Complaint, paragraph 33.

III. STATEMENT OF QUESTIONS PRESENTED

SHOULD CUMBERLAND COUNTY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BE GRANTED AND PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. §1983 BE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE?

Suggested Answer:

Yes.

IV. ARGUMENT

Cumberland County's Motion for Summary Judgment should be granted and Plaintiffs' 42 U.S.C. §1983 claim should be dismissed with prejudice.

Summary judgment is properly granted if, taking the non-moving party's allegations as true in drawing all inferences in its favor, the court is convinced from its review of the evidence that there is no genuine issue of material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(b); Scott v. Plante, 532 F.2d 939, 940 (3rd. Cir. 1976). An issue is "genuine" only if the evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the non-moving party. Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 2510, 91 L. Ed. 2d 202 (1986). The facts must be viewed in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, and reasonable doubt as to the existence of a genuine issue of material fact is to be resolved against the moving party. Tyro

Industries, Inc. v. Trevose Construction Company, Inc., 737 F. Supp. 856, 861 (E.D. Pa. 1990).

However, if evidence is "merely colorable" or "not significantly probative," summary judgment may be granted. Anderson, 106 S. Ct. at 2511. Where the record, taken as a whole, could not "lead a rationale trier of fact to find for the nonmoving party, summary judgment is proper." Matsushita Lec. Indus.

Company v. Zenith Radio, 475 U.S. 574, 106 S. Ct. 1348, 1356, 89 L. Ed. 2d 538 (1986).

In Count II of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs allege that Cumberland County violated Decedent's rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983. 42 U.S.C. §1983 provides:

Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage, of any State . . . subjects or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity or in other proper proceeding for redress.

The constitutional rights at issue with regard to detainees were set forth in Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97 (1976) in which the United States Supreme Court stated:

Deliberate indifference to the serious medical needs of prisoners constitutes the 'unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain' proscribed by the Eighth Amendment.

The Estelle standard is applied where the adequacy of medical care is questioned pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 under both Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment theories. <u>Unterberg v. Correctional Medical Systems, Inc.</u>, 799 F. Supp. 490, 494 N.3 (E.D. Pa. 1992). Under this standard, however, a municipality or county may not be liable in a §1983 action under the doctrine of respondeat superior. Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1978).

Here, Plaintiffs, in their First Amended Complaint, have pled in paragraph 50 various alleged customs, practices and polices allegedly implemented and maintained by Cumberland County with deliberate indifference.

- Said customs, practices and/or policies including, 50. but not limited to:
 - Failure to employ physicians or other a. healthcare providers qualified to provide emergency treatment to persons detained under §302 of the Mental Health Procedures Act;
 - b. Failure to train physicians, crisis intervention workers and other personnel with respect to the proper evaluation, security, restraint and/or treatment of persons detained under §302 of the Mental Health Procedures Act;

- Failure to train crisis intervention workers, c. nurses, and other personnel with respect to communication to police officers, family members and patients with respect to the condition and mental state of patients subject to §302 procedures, including those who have "escaped," in order to avoid creating or increasing the foreseeable danger of harm to persons similarly situated as Ryan Schorr;
- d. Failure to maintain adequate crisis intervention facilities and staff.

Cumberland County contracted with Holy Spirit Hospital to provide certain crisis intervention services within Cumberland County, Pennsylvania. By doing so, Cumberland County attended to the needs of mentally ill and emotionally disturbed persons.

Cumberland County's decision to contract with Holy Spirit Hospital for the various physicians and other health care providers who gave treatment to Decedent under §302 of the Mental Health Procedures Act demonstrates that the County attended to the needs of mentally ill and emotionally disturbed persons and did not act with deliberate indifference toward them.

The record shows that Holy Spirit Hospital was adequately staffed with physicians and other health care providers well qualified to provide emergency treatment and crisis intervention services. For example, Carol Joerger, R.N. had worked eleven years as a nurse in the emergency room (See Transcript of Deposition of Carol Joerger, page 9, lines 7-9). Security Guard Cory Graby testified that he had been a security officer at Holy Spirit for five and one-half years and had three years of college. (See Exhibit "D", Transcript of Deposition of Cory Graby, page 6). Candice Highfield was a part-time crisis worker, and a clinical social worker who was "aware of the mental health procedures and law" (See Exhibit "E", Transcript of Deposition of Candice Highfield, page 6). Steve Bucciferro had served nine years as administrative director of Mental Health Services (See Exhibit "F", Transcript of Deposition of Steve Bucciferro, page 5) and had twenty-two years experience in healthcare (See Exhibit "F", page 7) (See page 7 of Exhibit "F" for more details). Mercedes Briscese, a part-time crisis worker, had earned her Master's degree in counseling in 1982 and had experience in working with the Capable Adolescent Mothers Program and the Superior Court in New Jersey. (See Exhibit "G", Transcript of Deposition of Mercedes Briscese, page 8, lines 1-10). She also received training in crisis work when she began to work for Holy Spirit Hospital. (See Exhibit "G", page 8, lines 20-25; page 9, lines 1-5).

Also, Steve Bucciferro, administrative director of Mental Health Services, described the training and educational requirements for professional staff who provide crisis intervention services. See Exhibit "F", pages 17-19. Bucciferro pointed out that "[t]he professional staff members who provide Crisis Intervention services have to have a minimum of a bachelor's degree training, whether it be in a psychosocial service background, they have to have at least a bachelor's degree." See Exhibit "F", page 17, lines 22-25; page 18, lines 1-4. He also stated that:

> RNs, registered nurses, have provided Crisis Intervention services in the past as well, because they've been trained in psychiatric nursing. So we have had RNs. Now, with a minimum educational background, then it's a matter of how much training they may have had elsewhere, experience elsewhere working in either a psychiatric or mental health setting or behavioral health setting, whether it be in drug and alcohol or in other types of counseling centers or experience in that way. That helps in terms of being able to hire that person. If they come with a degree and training but may not have a lot of training with the Crisis, then they'll be trained more here at Holy Spirit with the supervisor and the other crisis workers. So there's a minimum educational and then it depends on the type of experience – working experience they have. That's all taken into account before they're hired.

See Exhibit "F", page 18, lines 6-25; page 19, lines 1-3.

Bucciferro also discussed the types of providers who do Crisis Intervention work at Holy Spirit:

> There's no specific title or type of . . . provider that would do Crisis. It's more of a general behavioral health specialist professional of a bachelor's level or higher. They may have a Masters Degree or they may have more of a postgraduate degree, but they are behavioral health specialists or behavioral health generalists more so. An RN is a specific category of someone who is a registered nurse. You might have a counselor, you might have an DNA expert, someone who's gone to school and got a masters in social work is a crisis worker so there's no specific category other than just a generalist and behavioral health or mental health.

See Exhibit "F", page 22, lines 19-25; page 23, lines 1-10.

Bucciferro also pointed out that Holy Spirit Hospital employs psychiatrists who would have as part of their duties to assist in evaluation of individuals brought in on a §302 involuntary commitment:

> Psychiatrists are employed at Holy Spirit Hospital to work within the mental health center, specifically holding positions or duties that we need to provide service. We have adult psychiatrists who work in our inpatient unit, also work in our outpatient clinic and program providing outpatient services. We have child and adolescent psychiatrists who are hired to provide inpatient service and outpatient services to children and adolescents. We have psychiatrists who function as medical director of the mental health center and we have a psychiatrist who works as our clinical director for child/adolescent services. . . . [A]ll psychiatrists and

staff that have responsibility to be on-call and to respond to the emergency room may be involved with doing a 302 assessment.

See Exhibit "F", page 19, lines 17-25; page 20, lines 1-8; page 21, lines 18-21.

Moreover, these employees or agents received appropriate and relevant training. For example, security officer Cory Graby received training in Act 235 (weapons certification in Pennsylvania) and in red alert training, which involves assisting in subduing a combative patient. (See Exhibit "D", Transcript of Deposition of Cory Graby, page 7, lines 22-25; page 8, line 1; page 19, lines 6-10). Cory Graby also has certification by the International Association of Hospital Safety and Security. (See Exhibit "H", page 8, lines 2-5). Charles Sterling, security manager at Holy Spirit Hospital, discussed training that hospital security personnel undertake. (See Exhibit "H", pages 33-37). The training includes an initial hospital orientation (See Exhibit "H", page 33, lines 14-15); red alert training on how to deal with aggressive individuals (See Exhibit "H", page 33, lines 18-25; page 34, lines 1-5); the basic and the advanced security officer course offered by the Society of Healthcare Safety and Security (See Exhibit "H", page 34, lines 19-20).

Holy Spirit Hospital also provided adequate crisis intervention facilities.

Carol Joerger, R.N., who had eleven years experience in the emergency department, testified that room 17, where the decedent had been placed, was one of the seclusion rooms in the emergency room. (See Exhibit "C", page 14, lines 11-13). The door to room 17 was closed and was locked "as far as the inside person [the decedent] was concerned" at the time of the events alleged in the First Amended Complaint. (See Exhibit "D", page 24, lines 4-11). Also, the door in room 17 has an observation window from which health care providers and crisis workers can observe the patient within. (See Exhibit "E", page 17, lines 11-14).

Cumberland County's decision to utilize Holy Spirit Hospital to render services and care to for individuals subject to §302 of the Mental Health Procedures Act exhibited the proper care concern and provisions for the treatment of an individual, such as Decedent. Cumberland County was certainly not deliberately indifferent to the needs of such an individual when choosing Holy Spirit Hospital to administer those services.

Also, during the events alleged within Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, Decedent never had any direct contact with any Cumberland County official or employee. A municipality may not be liable in a §1983 action under the doctrine of respondeat superior. Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658

(1978). Therefore, any conduct by Holy Spirit Hospital which Plaintiffs contend was violative of Ryan Schorr's rights cannot be imputed to Cumberland County.

For all of the above-stated reasons, Cumberland County's Motion for Summary Judgment should be granted and Count II of the First Amended Complaint should be dismissed as to Defendant Cumberland County, with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

METTE, EVANS & WOODSIDE

By:

DATED: February 28, 2003

John F. Yaninek, Esquire Sup. Ct. I.D. No. 55741 3401 N. Front Street

P. O. Box 5950

Harrisburg, PA 17110-0950

(717) 232-5000

Attorneys for Defendant Holy Spirit Hospital and Cumberland County

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, JOHN F. YANINEK, ESQUIRE, hereby certify that I am serving a copy of the foregoing document upon the person(s) and in the manner indicated below, which service satisfies the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, by depositing a copy of same in the United States Mail at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, with first-class postage, prepaid, as follows:

Gerald J. Williams, Esquire Williams, Cuker and Berezofsky One Penn Center at Suburban Station 1617 JFK Boulevard, Suite 800 Philadelphia, PA 19103-1895

Stephen S. Pennington, Esquire Williams, Cuker and Berezofsky One Penn Center at Suburban 1617 JFK Boulevard Station Suite 800 Philadelphia PA 19103 David J. MacMain, Esquire Mongtomery, McCracken, Walker & Rhoads, LLP 123 South Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19109

METTE, EVANS & WOODSIDE

Bv.

John F. Yaninek, Esquire Sup. Ct. I.D. No. 55741 3401 North Front Street P. O. Box 5950 Harrisburg, PA 17110-0950 (717) 232-5000

Attorneys for Defendants Holy Spirit Hospital and Cumberland County

Date: February 28, 2003

:319013 _1